

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,105	04/23/2001	Jean-Claude Chevet	PF980073	2816	
75	90 06/25/2003			\$v.	
Joseph S Tripoli			EXAMINER		
Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc CN 5312			SHAPIRO,	APIRO, LEONID	
Princeton, NJ	08543-0028		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2673 .		
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/830,105	CHEVET ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Leonid Shapiro	2673	: 			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI e, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timel X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is no <b>n-</b> fina	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considerat	ion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	ent.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on 23 April 2001 is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)⊠	objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•	on.				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	ts have been receiv	red.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been receiv	ed in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ireau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	Stage			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		l application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application	n has been received.	.,			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office		Dad of Donos No. 5				

Application/Control Number: 09/830,105

Art Unit: 2673

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Drawings

2. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,201,519 B1 in view of Van Dijk US Patent No. 6,424,325.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,105

Art Unit: 2673

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because independent claim 1 in application have correspondence in wording to claims 1 and 10 in the U.S. Patent No. 6,201,519 B1, except for the limitation regarding the sum of the weights of these bits remaining identical from one control word to the other (See in Application Page 24, Lines 17-18) and common knowledge in prior art that the sum of the weight factors associated with those sub field periods determining the luminance (See Col. 1, Lines 26-27 in Dijk reference).

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

The Shigeta (US Patent No. 6,064,356) reference discloses driving system for a selfluminous display.

### Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,105

Art Unit: 2673

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

ls June 10, 2003

> VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER

July July